



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/685,305	10/10/2000	Kean M. Anspach	A148 1540 (16164.0590.)	4349

7590 10/02/2002

Jeffery B. Arnold Esq
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice PLLC
PO Box 725388
Atlanta, GA 31139-9388

EXAMINER

CHANG, VICTOR S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1771	9

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AS 9

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/685,305	ANSPACH, KEAN M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Victor S Chang	1771	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.

2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:

- they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
- they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See attached NOTE.

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See the above NOTE.

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.
 Claim(s) objected to: _____.
 Claim(s) rejected: 18-34.
 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.
 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a)a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.
 10. Other: _____.

NOTE

1. The After Final Amendment is not entered, for several reasons: First, because the newly amended claim 1 recites "a barrier layer disposed substantially on and covering between about 1% to about 10% of the adhesive surface", and each of these underlined amendments clearly constitutes a new issue, i.e., it would require further consideration and/or search. Also, the newly introduced Markush group both clearly constitutes a new issue and also, while not recites "hollow glass beads" per se, still encompasses materials, such as silica, which can be configured and used as hollow glass beads, i.e., claim does not exclude hollow glass beads by merely introduce material compositions.

2. While Mr. Anspach's Declaration appears persuasive, it is untimely to submit Declaration after Final. If further prosecution, i.e., a CPA or RCE, is contemplated, the Examiner would like to strongly urge Applicants further limit the non-adhesive particles to solid particles, i.e., non-hollow particles, as stated in Mr. Anspach's Declaration.

DANIEL ZIRKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300
1700

Daniel Zirker